

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,030	09/19/2001	Kimiyuki Ito	44084-498	9406	
7	7590 03/14/2003				
	T, WILL & EMERY		EXAMINER		
600 13th Street Washington, D	t, N.W. C 20005-3096		RODEE, CHR	ISTOPHER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1756		
,			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	DATE MAIL ED: 03/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/955,030	ITO ET AL.	
navious nation	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher D RoDee	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 December 2002</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	• •	•	et forth in
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-17,20,21,31-35 and 38-42</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		CDRI	<u></u>
		CHRISTOPHER ROI PRIMARY EXAMIN	-

Continuation of 10. Other: The rejections of record remain applicable to the claims as amended for the reasons given in paper #10..